verification information within 30 days of the date of application and is determined eligible, the household must be reinstated and receive benefits within 30 calendar days after the application was filed or within 10 days of the date the interview is completed or required verification information is provided, whichever is later. In no event shall a subsequent period's benefits be provided before the end of the current certification period.

(B) Deny the household's recertification application at the end of the last month of the current certification period. The State agency may on a Statewide basis either require households to submit new applications to continue benefits or reinstate the households without requiring new applications if the households have been interviewed and have provided the required verification information within 30 days after the applications have been denied.

(C) Deny the household's recertification request 30 days after application. The State agency may on a Statewide basis either require households to submit new applications to continue benefits or reinstate households without requiring new applications if such households have been interviewed and have provided the required verification within 30 days after the applications have been denied.

(f) Expedited service. A State agency is not required to apply the expedited service provisions of § 273.2(i) at recertification if the household applies in a timely manner for recertification or applies late but within the certification period.

11. In § 273.21, paragraph (n)(1) is amended by adding a sentence to the end of the paragraph to read as follows:

## § 273.21 Monthly Reporting and Retrospective Budgeting (MRRB).

(n) Suspension. \* \* \* (1) \* \* \* The State agency may on a Statewide basis either suspend the household's certification prospectively for the issuance month or retrospectively for the issuance month corresponding to the budget month in which the noncontinuing circumstance occurs.

# PART 274—ISSUANCE AND USE OF **COUPONS**

12. In § 274.2:

- a. Paragraphs (b)(2), (b)(3), and (b)(4) are removed.
- b. Paragraphs (b)(1), (c), (d), and (e) are redesignated paragraphs (b), (d), (e), and (f), respectively.

- c. Two sentences are added to the end of newly redesignated paragraph (b).
  - d. A new paragraph (c) is added. The additions read as follows:

## § 274.2 Providing benefits to participants. \*

- (b) \* \* \* For households entitled to expedited service, the State agency shall make available to the household coupons or an ATP card, not later than the fifth calendar day following the date the application was filed. Whatever system a State agency uses to ensure meeting this delivery standard shall be designed to allow a reasonable opportunity for redemption of ATPs no later than the fifth calendar day following the day the application was
- (c) Combined allotments. For those households which are to receive a combined allotment, the State agency shall provide the benefits for both months as an aggregate (one) allotment, or as two separate allotments made available at the same time, in accordance with the timeframes specified in S273.2(i) of this chapter.

Dated: January 4, 1995.

#### Ellen Haas,

Under Secretary for Food, Nutrition, and Consumer Services.

[FR Doc. 95-635 Filed 1-10-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410-30-U

### **CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY** COMMISSION

## 16 CFR Part 1700

**Proposed Requirements for Child-**Resistant Packaging; Packages Containing 250 mg or More of Naproxen: Extension of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On November 14, 1994, the Commission issued a proposed rule under the Poison Prevention Packaging Act to require child-resistant packaging for naproxen preparations containing 250 mg or more of naproxen per package. The Commission had specified that comments should be submitted by January 30, 1995. After receiving a request to extend the comment period, the Commission has decided to do so, and it will permit comments until March 1, 1995.

**DATES:** Comments on the proposal should be submitted not later than March 1, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be mailed to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207-0001, or delivered to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, room 502, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814, telephone (301) 504-0800.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jacqueline Ferrante, Ph.D., Directorate for Health Sciences, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; telephone (301) 504-0477 ext. 1199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission recently published in the Federal Register proposed requirements for special packaging (also known as child resistant packaging) for naproxen preparations containing 250 mg or more of naproxen per package. 59 FR 56445.

These proposed requirements were issued under the authority of the Poison Prevention Packaging Act (PPPA), 15 U.S.C. 1471-1476. The PPPA authorizes the Commission to establish standards for the special packaging of any household substance if (1) the degree or nature of the hazard to children in the availability of such substance, by reason of its packaging, is such that special packaging is required to protect children from serious personal injury or serious illness resulting from handling, using, or ingesting such substance and (2) the special packaging is technically feasible, practicable, and appropriate for the substance. 15 U.S.C. 1472(a).

The November 14, 1994, Federal Register notice provides details concerning toxicity, dosage, and packaging of naproxen. The notice also discusses findings that the PPPA requires the Commission to make concerning (1) the hazard to children presented by the substances; (2) the technical feasibility, practicability, and appropriateness of special packaging; and (3) the reasonableness of the proposed standard.

The Commission received a request from the Syntex Corporation ("Syntex") asking for an extension of the comment period allowed for the proposed requirements. Syntex and Proctor & Gamble jointly have three years exclusivity to manufacture and market the only over-the-counter naproxen product. Syntex stated that since it has recently been acquired by Hoffmann-La Roche, Ltd., additional time is necessary for preparation and review of comments by the new management. Syntex

requested a 30 day extension to the comment period.

The Commission believes that this extension will allow a more complete response to the proposed requirements. It will permit the Commission to receive a more in depth response from a company that has a significant interest in the proposed rule. Granting a 30-day extension of the comment period should not increase the risk of young children being poisoned by naproxen because the two companies marketing naproxen preparations are voluntarily using childresistant packaging.

Dated: January 6, 1995.

### Sadye E. Dunn,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 95–705 Filed 1–10–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 1

[PS-72-92]

RIN 1545-AR23

Definition of Qualified Electric Vehicle, and Recapture Rules for Qualified Electric Vehicles, Qualified Clean-Fuel Vehicle Property, and Qualified Clean-Fuel Vehicle Refueling Property; Hearing Cancellation

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Cancellation of notice of public hearing on proposed regulations.

SUMMARY: This document provides notice of cancellation of a public hearing on proposed regulations relating to the definition of qualified electric vehicle, the recapture of any credit allowable for a qualified electric, and the recapture of any deduction allowable for qualified clean-fuel vehicle property or qualified clean-fuel vehicle refueling property.

**DATES:** The public hearing originally scheduled for Thursday, January 19, 1995, beginning at 10 a.m. is cancelled.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carol Savage of the Regulations Unit, Assistant Chief Counsel (Corporate), (202) 622–8452 (not a toll-free number).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The subject of the public hearing is proposed regulations under sections 30 and 179A of the Internal Revenue Code. A notice of proposed rulemaking and public hearing appearing in the **Federal Register** for Friday, October 14, 1994,

(59 FR 52105), announced that the public hearing on the proposed regulations would be held on Thursday, January 19, 1995, beginning at 10 a.m., in the Internal Revenue Service Auditorium, Seventh Floor, 7400 Corridor, Internal Revenue Service Building, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.

The public hearing scheduled for Thursday, January 19, 1995, is cancelled.

#### Cynthia E. Grigsby

Chief, Regulations Unit Assistant Chief Counsel (Corporate).

[FR Doc. 95–597 Filed 1–10–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[FL-049-2-5818b; FL-049-2-6132b; FL 51-5819b; FRL-5134-1]

## Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Approval of Revisions to Florida Regulations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA proposes to approve the state implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Florida for the purpose of establishing Reasonably Available Control Technique standards for stationary volatile organic compounds (VOC) and nitrogen (NO<sub>X</sub>) sources and New Source Review Standards for NO<sub>X</sub>. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time. **DATES:** To be considered, comments must be received by February 10, 1995. ADDRESSES: Written comments on this

action should be addressed to Alan

Powell, at the EPA Regional Office listed below.

Copies of the documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day.

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4 Air Programs Branch, 345 Courtland Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30365.

Florida Department of Environmental Protection, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32399.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alan Powell, Regulatory Planning and Development Section, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division, Region 4 Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, NE. Atlanta, Georgia 30365. The telephone number is 404/347–3555, extension 4209. Reference file FL-49–5818.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: December 20, 1994.

## Patrick M. Tobin,

Acting Regional Administrator. [FR Doc. 95–609 Filed 1–10–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[OR35-1-6188b, OR43-1-6523b, OR36-1-6298b; FRL-5113-8]

# Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans: Oregon

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Oregon for the purpose of making revisions to the State of Oregon's Air Quality Control Plan Volume 2. Specifically, EPA is proposing to approve the revisions to the Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) Chapter 340, Division 25 and revisions to Title 47 of Lane Regional Air Pollution Authority (LRAPA). The SIP revision was submitted by the State to satisfy certain Federal Clean Air Act